1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 11 12 ALL BUILDING AND PROPERTY Case No. 3:21-cv-00400-LRH-CSD SERVICES, INC., a Nevada corporation 13 Plaintiff, 14 STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION v. 15 ROBERT E. POOLE, TRUSTEE (Third Request) 16 DOROTHY D. POOLE TRUST; DOROTHY D. POOLE TRUSTEE 17 DOROTHY D. POOLE TRUST; DOROTHY D. POOLE TRUST; VISTA 18 POINTE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, a Nevada Non Profit 19 Cooperative Corporation; LEACH KERN GRÚCHOW ANDERSON SONG, LTD., a 20 Nevada Limited Liability Company; SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND 21 URBAN DEVELOPMENT; DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, 22 Defendants. 23 24 Defendant Secretary of Housing and Urban Development ("Defendant") and 25 Plaintiff All Building and Property Services, Inc. ("Plaintiff") stipulate, subject to this court's 26 approval, that Defendant shall have until November 30, 2022 to file a reply in support 27 28

1 2

.

of Defendant's motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 6). This is the third request for an extension of Defendant's reply deadline.

Defendant requires additional time because the AUSA who is handling this case was injured in a recent accident and will be unable to prepare a reply for at least the next several weeks. (If the court requires, Defendant will submit a more detailed explanation of the circumstances under seal.)

In addition, a number of civil division attorneys in the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada have left the office in the past 11 months and two more have announced their intention to move to other U.S. Attorney's Offices by year's end. The vacancies have resulted in much higher-than-normal workloads for attorneys in the civil division of the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada and those workloads would prevent any of the remaining AUSAs from being able to respond before November 30, 2022. *Id.* 

Under these circumstances, the parties submit that good cause exists for an extension allowing Defendant up to and including November 30, 2022 to file a reply in support of Defendant's motion to dismiss *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A) ("When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, *for good cause*, extend the time...with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires[.]") (emphasis added).

This stipulation is made in good faith and not for the purpose of undue delay. (Id.).

///

///

CONCLUSION For the reasons argued above, Defendant and Plaintiff respectfully stipulate that the deadline to file the reply in support of Defendant's motion to dismiss be extended to November 30, 2022. Respectfully submitted this 27th day of October 2022 JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney /s/ Troy K. Flake TROY K. FLAKE /s/ Kerry P. Faughnan Kerry P. Faughnan, Esq., NSB #.12204 Attorney for Plaintiff Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Defendant All Building and Property Services, Inc. Secretary of Housing and Urban Development IT IS SO ORDERED: UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE DATED: NOVEMBER 1, 2022